

An improvement of the Minkowski bound for real quadratic orders using the Markoff theorem

Anitha Srinivasan

August 3 2010

Abstract

Using the Markoff theorem on indefinite binary quadratic forms it is shown that every element of the class group of any real quadratic order of discriminant d has an ideal of norm less than or equal to $1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$.

1 Introduction

Let $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ be a positive integer that is not a square. Let R denote the unique quadratic order of discriminant d in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. It is well known that every element of the class group of ideals in R has an ideal whose norm is less than $\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$, the Minkowski bound ([5, Theorem 1.3.1]). This bound can be improved to $\sqrt{\frac{d}{5}}$ ([3, Theorem 11, page 141]). In the following theorem we present a bound that is best possible.

Theorem 1.1 Every element in the class group of a real quadratic order of discriminant d has an ideal of norm less than or equal to $1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$.

The bound given in the above theorem is best possible because there are quadratic orders of discriminant d which have ideal classes where the least norm is $1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$.

The proof of the above theorem uses the remarkable theorem of Markoff which states that if f is an indefinite binary quadratic form of discriminant

d , such that the least positive integer represented by it is greater than $\sqrt{d}/3$, then f is equivalent to a multiple of a Markoff form.

There are a countable number of Markoff forms where each such form corresponds to a solution triple (a, b, c) with $0 < a \leq b \leq c$ of the Markoff equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$. The Markoff conjecture states that given a positive integer c , there is at most one pair of positive integers a, b with $a \leq b \leq c$ such that (a, b, c) is a solution triple of the Markoff equation.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the standard construction of Markoff forms as in [1], where a Markoff form is defined for each Markoff number c and denoted by F_c . There is an ambiguity in this notation as the Markoff form defined actually depends on the ordered triple (a, b, c) and not only on c . Therefore if the Markoff conjecture is not true, then given a Markoff number c , it is possible that there is more than one such form F_c . To avoid this ambiguity we define a Markoff form for each ordered solution triple (a, b, c) of the Markoff equation. Moreover our construction also enables us to state a conjecture that is equivalent to the Markoff conjecture as follows.

We show that every Markoff form corresponds to a pair of binary quadratic forms f, f^{-1} of discriminant $9c^2 - 4$, where f represents c and f^2 represents -1 . Therefore the Markoff conjecture is equivalent to the following statement: There is at most one pair of forms f, f^{-1} in the form class group of discriminant $9c^2 - 4$ such that f represents the integer c and f^2 represents -1 .

2 Binary quadratic forms and ideals

2.1 Forms

A *binary quadratic form* $f = (a, b, c)$ is a function $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, where a, b, c are real numbers called the *coefficients* and $d = b^2 - 4ac$ is called the *discriminant*. In the case when the form is *integral*, that is a, b, c are integers we will assume that $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. Sometimes we will suppress the last coefficient and write simply (a, b) instead of (a, b, c) as the value of c can be computed from the discriminant equation.

Two forms f and f' are said to be *equivalent*, written as $f \sim f'$, if for some $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have $f'(x, y) = f(\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y)$. It is easy to see that \sim is an equivalence relation on the set of forms of discriminant d .

If $f = (a, b, c)$, then the form $(a, -b, c)$ is denoted as f^{-1} and the form $(-a, b, -c)$ is denoted as \bar{f} . Note that in general f^{-1} does not represent an inverse; only integral forms have inverses as explained below. A form g is a *multiple* of form f if there exists a real number k such that $g = kf = (ka, kb, kc)$. Observe that $-f = (\bar{f})^{-1}$.

A form f is said to *represent* an integer m if there exist coprime integers x and y , such that $f(x, y) = m$. Note that equivalent forms represent the same integers and hence sometimes we refer to a class of forms f that represents a given integer. Also it is easy to see that f and f^{-1} represent the same set of integers.

In the case of integral forms, the set of equivalence classes of forms is an abelian group called the *form class group* with group law as composition given in Definition 2.1 in the next section. The *class number* denoted by $h(d)$ is the order of the class group.

The *inverse* of an integral form f in the class group is f^{-1} defined above and the *identity form* e is defined as the form $(1, 0, \frac{-d}{4})$ or $(1, 1, \frac{1-d}{4})$ depending on whether d is even or odd, respectively. Note that any form that represents the integer 1 is equivalent to the identity form.

The *infimum* of a binary quadratic form f is defined as $m(f) = \inf\{|f(x, y)| : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where x, y are not both 0. Note that $m(f) = m(f^{-1}) = m(-f)$.

The results in the following lemma are well known; the reader may refer to [6] for proofs.

Lemma 2.1

1. The form (a, b) is equivalent to the form $(a, b + 2a\delta)$ for any integer δ .
2. A form f represents an integer n if and only if $f \sim (n, b, c)$ for some numbers b, c .
3. Let a prime p be represented by an integral form f . If g is any form that represents p , then either $g \sim f$ or $g \sim f^{-1}$.

The following lemma can be proved easily using standard results. Part 1 follows because the fundamental unit in this case has positive norm and Part 2 follows from [7, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 2.2 Let $d = 9c^2 - 4$. Then the following hold in the form class group.

1. The identity form e is not equivalent to \bar{e} .

2. If $|n| < \sqrt{d}/2$ and the identity form e represents n , then $n = 1$.

2.2 Ideals

In this section all forms are integral and $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ denotes a positive integer that is not a square. R denotes the unique quadratic order of discriminant d in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. For details of the results presented in this section the reader is directed to [2, Section 5.2 and 5.4.2]. A clear presentation of the arithmetic of ideals is also available in [5, Sections 1.2 and 1.3].

A primitive integral ideal I of R can be written in the form

$$I = a\mathbb{Z} + \frac{-b + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.1)$$

where a, b are integers such that $a > 0$ is the norm of the ideal and $4a$ divides $b^2 - d$.

If $c = \frac{b^2 - d}{4a}$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$, then the ideal is invertible and the inverse is the ideal $\bar{I} = a\mathbb{Z} + \frac{b + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $(a, b, c) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is a form of discriminant d . Indeed the invertible ideals are the ideals that correspond to forms.

Let F be the set of forms modulo the action of the group $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, where the forms (a, b, c) and $(a, b + 2am, c)$ are identified. Let \mathbb{I} be the set of fractional ideals modulo \mathbb{Q}^* . A map ψ from F to \mathbb{I} is defined as

$$\psi(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} a\mathbb{Z} + \frac{-b + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } a > 0 \\ (a\mathbb{Z} + \frac{-b + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z})\sqrt{d}, & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

The map ψ induces a bijection between the class group of forms and the *narrow class group* of R , where two ideals I and J are strictly equivalent, written as $I \approx J$, if there are algebraic integers α and β such that $\alpha I = \beta J$ and the norms of α and β are of the same sign. To establish the bijection induced by ψ it is necessary to consider for each ideal an ordered basis $w_1\mathbb{Z} + w_2\mathbb{Z}$ that satisfies $\overline{w_2}w_1 - \overline{w_1}w_2 > 0$, where $\overline{w_1}$ represents the conjugate of w_1 . Two ideals are said to be equivalent, written as $I \sim J$, if the ideal equality given above holds without the norm condition. The group of equivalence classes of ideals under this equivalence is called the *wide class group* or simply *class group*.

Observe that if $a > 0$ and $\psi(f) = \psi(a, b, c) = I$, then $\psi(\bar{f}) = \psi(-a, b, -c) = I\sqrt{d}$. Moreover, in the (wide) class group, we have the ideal equivalence $\psi(f) \sim \psi(\bar{f})$.

In the following definition we present the formula for the product of ideals which leads to composition of forms.

Let $I_k = a_k\mathbb{Z} + \frac{-b_k + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 1, 2$, be two primitive ideals. Let $f_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ and $f_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ be the corresponding binary quadratic forms of discriminant d .

Definition 2.1 Let $g = \gcd(a_1, a_2, (b_1 + b_2)/2)$ and let v_1, v_2, w be integers such that

$$v_1 a_1 + v_2 a_2 + w(b_1 + b_2)/2 = g.$$

If we define a_3 and b_3 as

$$a_3 = \frac{a_1 a_2}{g^2},$$

$$b_3 = b_2 + 2 \frac{a_2}{g} \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{2} v_2 - c_2 w \right),$$

then $I_1 \cdot I_2$ is the ideal $a_3\mathbb{Z} + \frac{-b_3 + \sqrt{d}}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Further the composition of the forms (a_1, b_1, c_1) and (a_2, b_2, c_2) is the form (a_3, b_3, c_3) , where c_3 is computed using the discriminant equation.

Note that this gives the multiplication in the class group.

3 The Markoff theory

A triple (a, b, c) of positive integers that satisfies the Markoff equation

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3abc \tag{3.2}$$

is called a Markoff triple; the numbers a, b , and c are called Markoff numbers. We call a solution triple (a, b, c) ordered if $a \leq b \leq c$.

We define below a Markoff form for which we first observe that if (a, b, c) is a Markoff triple and k is an integer such that $ak \equiv b \pmod{c}$, then from the Markoff equation (3.2) it follows that $k^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$.

Definition 3.1 Let (a, b, c) be an ordered Markoff triple. Let integer k be defined as

$$ak \equiv b \pmod{c}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq c,$$

and let l be defined by

$$k^2 + 1 = lc.$$

Then the Markoff form F_{abc} corresponding to the triple (a, b, c) , is defined as

$$F_{abc} = \left(1, 3 - \frac{2k}{c}, \frac{l - 3k}{c} \right).$$

Note that

$$cF_{abc} = (c, 3c - 2k, l - 3k)$$

is an integral form. Moreover $(cF_{abc})^{-1} \sim (c, 3c - 2k', l - 3k')$ where $k' = c - k$ with $k'^2 + 1 = l'c$ so that while $k \equiv \frac{b}{a} \pmod{c}$ we have $k' \equiv \frac{a}{b} \pmod{c}$.

Lemma 3.1 Let F_{abc} be a Markoff form and $f = cF_{abc}$. Then

1. $F_{abc} \sim -F_{abc}$ or equivalently $f^2 \sim \bar{e}$, that is $f \sim -f$.
2. The infimum $m(F_{abc}) = 1$ or equivalently $m(f) = c$.

Proof. See [1, Chapter 2, Lemmas 9 and 10] □

For the next lemma we need the following definitions. For an ordered Markoff triple (c_1, c_2, c) let k_1, k_2, k be defined as

$$\begin{cases} k \equiv \frac{c_2}{c_1} \pmod{c}, & 0 \leq k < c, \\ k_1 \equiv \frac{c}{c_2} \pmod{c}_1, & 0 \leq k_1 < c_1, \\ k_2 \equiv \frac{c_1}{c} \pmod{c}_2, & 0 \leq k_2 < c_2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2 Let (c_1, c_2, c) be an ordered Markoff triple and let k_1, k_2, k be as defined above. Then

1. $(3c_1c_2 - c, c_1, c_2)$, $(c_1, c, 3cc_1 - c_2)$ and $(c_2, c, 3cc_2 - c_1)$ are ordered Markoff triples.
2. $F_{abc}(k, c) = 1$ and $F_{abc}(k_2 - 3c_2, c_2) = F_{abc}(k_1, c_1) = -1$ or equivalently $cF_{abc}(k, c) = c$ and $cF_{abc}((k_2 - 3c_2, c_2) = cF_{abc}(k_1, c_1) = -c$.

Proof. See [1, Chapter 2, page 28 and Lemma 8]. □

Lemma 3.3 Let F_{abc} and $F_{a'b'c}$ be two Markoff forms corresponding to two distinct ordered Markoff triples (a, b, c) and (a', b', c) . Then the forms cF_{abc} and $cF_{a'b'c}$ are inequivalent forms of discriminant $d = 9c^2 - 4$.

Proof. Let $f = cF_{abc} = (c, 3c - 2k, l - 3k)$ where k, l are as given in Definition 3.1. Similarly let $f' = cF_{a'b'c} = (c, 3c - 2k', l' - 3k')$. Then $k^2 \equiv (k')^2 \pmod{c}$. It is known that every even Markoff number c satisfies $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (see for instance [7, Lemma 4.2]). Therefore we have a factorization $c = c_1c_2$ where $\gcd(c_1, c_2) = 1$ and

$$k \equiv k' \pmod{c_1}, \quad k \equiv -k' \pmod{c_2}. \quad (3.3)$$

If $c_1 \leq c_2$ using Definition 2.1 for composition of forms, we have $ff' = (a_3, b_3, c_3)$, where $a_3 = c^2/g = c_1^2$ as $g = \gcd(c, k + k') = c_2$. If $f \sim f'$, then $ff' \sim f^2 \sim \bar{e}$ by Lemma 3.1 and hence \bar{e} represents $a_3 = c_1^2 < c$ (Lemma 2.1). In the case when $c_2 \leq c_1$ we consider the composition of f with f'^{-1} to get $ff'^{-1} = (a_3, b_3, c_3)$, where $a_3 = c^2/g = c_2^2$ as $g = \gcd(c, k - k') = c_1$. Therefore in this case if $f \sim f'$, then $ff'^{-1} = e$ represents $a_3 = c_2^2 < c$. In either case e represents an integer a_3 such that $|a_3| < c < \sqrt{d}/2$. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that $|a_3| = 1$ which means from (3.3) that either c_1 or c_2 is equal to 1. If c_2 is 1, then $k = k'$ and so $f = f'$. If $c_1 = 1$ then $k \equiv -k' \pmod{c}$, which means $f' \sim f^{-1}$ which combined with $f \sim f'$ gives $f^2 \sim e$ which is not true as $f^2 \sim \bar{e}$ by Lemma 3.1 and by Lemma 2.2 the forms e and \bar{e} are not equivalent. \square

Lemma 3.4 Let f be a form of discriminant $9c^2 - 4$ that represents c and such that $f^2 \sim \bar{e}$. Then either f or f^{-1} is equivalent to cF_{abc} for some ordered Markoff triple (a, b, c) .

Proof. Firstly from Lemma 2.1 parts 1 and 2, as f represents c we have $f \sim (c, 3c - 2k, l - 3k)$ for some integers l, k with $1 \leq k \leq c$ and

$$k^2 + 1 = cl. \quad (3.4)$$

As $f^2 \sim \bar{e}$, we have $f \sim -f$, which means that f represents $-c$. Let $y = c_1$ be the least positive value of y of all representations $f(x, y) = -c$. Then for some integer k_1 with $\gcd(k_1, c_1) = 1$ we have $f(k_1, c_1) = -c$, that is

$$ck_1^2 + (3c - 2k)k_1c_1 + (l - 3k)c_1^2 = -c. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$c_1k - ck_1 = c_2. \quad (3.6)$$

We will show that (c_1, c_2, c) is a Markoff triple. We have using (3.4)-(3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c^2 &= c_1^2 + c_1^2k^2 + c^2k_1^2 - 2cc_1kk_1 + c^2 \\ &= c^2 + c_1^2(k^2 + 1) + c^2k_1^2 - 2cc_1kk_1 \\ &= c^2 + c_1^2lc + c^2k_1^2 - 2cc_1kk_1 \\ &= c(c + c_1^2l + ck_1^2 - 2c_1kk_1) \\ &= c(3kc_1^2 - 3ck_1c_1) = 3cc_1(c_1k - ck_1) \\ &= 3cc_1c_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

By way of choice of c_1 , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $c = \max\{c_1, c_2, c\}$. If $c_1 \leq c_2$ then (c_1, c_2, c) is an ordered triple and from Definition 3.1 and (3.6) it follows that $f \sim F_{c_1c_2c}$. If $c_2 < c_1$, then from the remark following Definition 3.1 we have $f \sim (cF_{c_2c_1c})^{-1}$. \square

Markoff in [4] proved the following result using continued fractions. Cassels [1, Theorem II, page 39] gave a proof using Markoff forms. Cassels defines Markoff forms for triples (a, b, c) where c is greater than both a and b . We define Markoff forms for ordered triples and hence make the necessary adjustment in the statement of the theorem below.

Theorem 3.1 (Markoff) Suppose that $f(x, y) = \alpha x^2 + \beta xy + \gamma y^2$ with $\delta = \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma > 0$. Then if $m(f) > \sqrt{d}/3$ then f or f^{-1} is equivalent to a multiple of a Markoff form.

We conclude this section with a conjecture that is equivalent to the Markoff conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1 Let $d = 9c^2 - 4$. Then there is at most one pair of classes of forms of discriminant d , $\{f, f^{-1}\}$, that represents c and such that $f^2 = f^{-2} = \bar{e}$.

Theorem 3.2 Conjecture 3.1 is equivalent to the Markoff conjecture.

Proof. The equivalence of the two conjectures follows from the fact that there is a one to one correspondence between ordered Markoff triples (a, b, c) and pairs of forms $\{f, f^{-1}\}$ that represent c and such that $f^2 = \bar{e}$. This correspondence is clear from Definition 3.1, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and corollaries

Let C denote the form class group of forms of discriminant $d > 0$. Recall that C is isomorphic to the narrow class group of ideals in R via the bijection ψ given in Section 2.2. If $N(I)$ is the norm of the ideal I , then note that the infimum of a form is given by $m(f) = \inf\{N(I) : I \sim \psi(f)\}$.

Lemma 4.1 Let f be an integral form of discriminant $d > 0$ such that $m(f) > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3}$. Then one of the following holds.

1. $d = 9c^2 - 4$ where c is an odd Markoff number and $m(f) = c$ with $f \sim g$ or $f^{-1} \sim g$ where $g = cF_{abc}$ for some ordered Markoff triple (a, b, c) .
2. $d = 9c_1^2 - 1$ where $c = 2c_1$ is an even Markoff number and $m(f) = c_1$ with $f \sim g$ or $f^{-1} \sim g$ where $g = c_1F_{abc}$ for some ordered Markoff triple (a, b, c) .

Moreover if f' is any form of discriminant d with $m(f') > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3}$, then $m(f') = m(f)$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.1 one of f or f^{-1} , say f , is equivalent to $g = rF_{abc}$ for some real number r and Markoff form F_{abc} . By Lemma 3.1 part 1, as $F_{abc} \sim -F_{abc}$, we may assume that $r > 0$. From Definition 3.1 we have

$$f \sim g = \left(r, 3r - \frac{2kr}{c}, \frac{(l-3k)r}{c} \right).$$

As $m(f) = m(g) = rm(F_{abc}) = r$ (by Lemma 3.1), r is an integer and we have on comparing discriminants of f and g ,

$$dc^2 = r^2(9c^2 - 4). \tag{4.8}$$

If c is odd then $\gcd(c, 9c^2 - 4) = 1$ and hence $c|r$. As f is a primitive form g also is primitive and hence $r = c$ as r/c divides the coefficients of g . Therefore $d = 9c^2 - 4$ and the result follows in this case.

In the case when c is even we have $c = 2c_1$ and hence from (4.8) it follows that $c_1|r$. In this case r/c_1 divides the coefficients of g and hence as g is a primitive form we have $r = c_1$ and $d = 9c_1^2 - 1$.

Now let f' be a form with $m(f') > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3}$. Then from the above we have if $m(f) \neq m(f')$ then $d = 9c^2 - 4 = 9c_1^2 - 1$ with $m(f) = c$ and $m(f') = c_1$. However this is not possible as the equality does not hold modulo 9. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let f be a binary quadratic form of discriminant d and suppose that an ideal class $\psi(f)$ has no ideals of norm $\leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$. It follows that $m(f) > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3}$. By Lemma 4.1 above $d = 9m(f)^2 - 4$ or $d = 9m(f)^2 - 1$. In either case we have $m(f) = 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$. Now f represents $m(f)$ and hence $\psi(f)$ has an ideal of norm $m(f) = 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$, a contradiction and the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.1 Let d be a fundamental discriminant. If all primes $p \leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$ are inert primes, that is $(\frac{d}{p}) = -1$, then the class number $h(d) = 1$.

Proof. If d is a fundamental discriminant, then it is well known that the class group is generated by ideals of prime norm. If $\psi(f)$ is an ideal class then from Theorem 1.1 we have $m(f) \leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$. Now every prime p dividing $m(f)$ is less than or equal to $1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$ and satisfies $(\frac{d}{p}) \neq -1$ and hence $m(f) = 1$ by assumption which gives $h(d) = 1$. \square

Several results in the literature use the Minkowski bound $\sqrt{d}/2$ or $\sqrt{\frac{d}{5}}$ as an upper bound for the norms of ideals that generate the class group. For example in [5, Theorem 6.2.1, page 200] Mollin improves a previous result by replacing the Minkowski bound by $\sqrt{\frac{d}{5}}$. Given Theorem 1.1, we may now further improve this result by using $1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$ instead of $\sqrt{\frac{d}{5}}$:

Corollary 4.2 Let d be a fundamental discriminant and $q_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ be pairwise relatively prime square free divisors of d . Let $F(x) = qx^2 + (\alpha - 1)qx + ((\alpha - 1)q^2 - d)/(4q)$, where $\alpha = 1$ if d is even and $\alpha = 2$ otherwise. Then, if for every prime $p \leq 1 + \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{d}}{3} \rfloor$ with $(\frac{d}{p}) \neq -1$ and $p \neq q_i$ for any i , there is a $q = \prod_{i \in S} q_i$ for some $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|F(x)| = p$ for some $x \geq 0$, then the class group is generated by the R -ideals over q_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In other words the class group is generated by ideals of order 2.

References

- [1] J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to Diophantine approximation*. Cambridge University Press, 1957.

- [2] H. Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [3] H. Cohn, *Advanced Number Theory*, Dover publications, 1962.
- [4] A. A. Markoff, *Sur les formes quadratiques binaires indéfinies I*. Math. Ann. **15** (1879), 381–409.
- [5] R. A. Mollin, *Quadratics*. CRC Press, 1996.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, *My numbers, my friends*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [7] A. Srinivasan, *Markoff numbers and ambiguous classes*, Journal de théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, **21** , no. 3 (2009), 755–768.