

ON SHANKS' ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING THE CONTINUED FRACTION OF $\log_b a$.

TERENCE JACKSON AND KEITH MATTHEWS

ABSTRACT. We give a more practical variant of Shanks' 1954 algorithm for computing the continued fraction of $\log_b a$, for integers $a > b > 1$, using the floor and ceiling functions and an integer parameter $c > 1$. The variant, when repeated for a few values of $c = 10^r$, enables one to guess if $\log_b a$ is rational and to find approximately r partial quotients.

1. SHANKS' ALGORITHM

In his article [1], Shanks gave an algorithm for computing the partial quotients of $\log_b a$, where $a > b$ are positive integers greater than 1. Construct two sequences $a_0 = a, a_1 = b, a_2, \dots$ and n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots , where the a_i are positive rationals and the n_i are positive integers, by the following rule: If $i \geq 1$ and $a_{i-1} > a_i > 1$, then

$$(1.1) \quad a_i^{n_{i-1}} \leq a_{i-1} < a_i^{n_{i-1}+1}$$

$$(1.2) \quad a_{i+1} = a_{i-1}/a_i^{n_{i-1}}.$$

Clearly (1.1) and (1.2) imply $a_i > a_{i+1} \geq 1$. Also (1.1) implies $a_i \leq a_{i-1}^{1/n_{i-1}}$ for $i \geq 1$ and hence by induction on $i \geq 0$,

$$(1.3) \quad a_{i+1} \leq a_0^{1/n_0 \cdots n_i}.$$

Also by induction on $j \geq 0$,

$$(1.4) \quad a_{2j} = a_0^r/a_1^s, \quad a_{2j+1} = a_1^u/a_0^v,$$

where r and u are positive integers and s and v are non-negative integers.

Two possibilities arise:

- (i) $a_{r+1} = 1$ for some $r \geq 1$. Then equations (1.4) imply a relation $a_0^q = a_1^p$ for positive integers p and q and so $\log_{a_1} a_0 = p/q$.
- (ii) $a_{i+1} > 1$ for all i . In this case the decreasing sequence $\{a_i\}$ tends to $a \geq 1$. Also (1.3) implies $a = 1$, unless perhaps $n_i = 1$ for all sufficiently large i ; but then (1.2) becomes $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1}/a_i$ and hence $a = a/a = 1$.

If $a_{i-1} > a_i > 1$, then from (1.1) we have

$$(1.5) \quad n_{i-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{\log a_{i-1}}{\log a_i} \right\rfloor.$$

Let $x_i = \log_{a_{i+1}} a_i$ if $a_{i+1} > 1$. Then we have

Lemma 1. *If $a_{i+2} > 1$, then*

$$(1.6) \quad x_i = n_i + 1/x_{i+1}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D09.

TABLE 1

i	n_i	a_i	p_i/q_i
0	3	10	3/1
1	3	2	10/3
2	9	1.25	93/28
3	2	1.024	196/59
4	2	1.0097419586...	485/146
5	4	1.0043362776...	2136/643
6	6	1.0010415475...	13301/4004
7	2	1.0001628941...	28738/8651
8	1	1.0000637223...	42039/12655
9	1	1.0000354408...	70777/21306
10		1.0000282805...	
11		1.0000071601...	

2. SOME PSEUDOCODE

In Table 2 we present pseudocode for the Shanks algorithm.

It soon becomes impractical to perform the calculations in multiprecision arithmetic, as the numerators and denominators a_i grow rapidly. If we truncate the decimal expansions of the $a[i]$ to r places and represent a positive rational a as $g(a)/10^r$, where $g(a) = \lfloor 10^r a \rfloor$, the ratio \mathbf{aa}/\mathbf{bb} will be calculated as $\lfloor 10^r g(aa)/g(bb) \rfloor$. Working explicitly in integers, using the $g(a)$, then results in algorithm 1, also depicted in Table 2, with $c = 10^r$, where $\mathbf{int}(x, y)$ equals $\lfloor x/y \rfloor$, when x and y are integers.

As shown in the next section, the $A[i]$ decrease strictly until they reach c . Also $\mathbf{m}[0] = \mathbf{n}[0]$ and we can expect a number of the initial $\mathbf{m}[i]$ will be partial quotients. Naturally, the larger we take c , the more partial quotients will be produced.

3. FORMAL DESCRIPTION OF ALGORITHM 1

We show in Theorem 2.1 below, that algorithm 1 will give the correct partial quotients when $\log_{a_1} a_0$ is rational and otherwise gives a parameterised sequence of integers which tend to the correct partial quotients when $\log_{a_1} a_0$ is irrational.

Algorithm 1 is now explicitly described. We define two integer sequences $\{A_{i,c}\}$, $i = 0, \dots, l(c)$ and $\{m_{j,c}\}$, $j = 0, \dots, l(c) - 2$, as follows.

Let $A_{0,c} = c \cdot a_0$, $A_{1,c} = c \cdot a_1$. Then if $i \geq 1$ and $A_{i-1,c} > A_{i,c} > c$, we define $m_{i-1,c}$ and $A_{i+1,c}$ by means of an intermediate sequence $\{B_{i,r,c}\}$, defined for $r \geq 0$, by $B_{i,0,c} = A_{i-1,c}$ and

$$(3.1) \quad B_{i,r+1,c} = \left\lfloor \frac{cB_{i,r,c}}{A_{i,c}} \right\rfloor, r \geq 0.$$

Then $c \leq B_{i,r+1,c} < B_{i,r,c}$, if $B_{i,r,c} \geq A_{i,c} > c$ and hence there is a unique integer $m = m_{i-1,c} \geq 1$ such that

$$B_{i,m,c} < A_{i,c} \leq B_{i,m-1,c}.$$

Then we define $A_{i+1,c} = B_{i,m,c}$. Hence $A_{i+1,c} \geq c$ and the sequence $\{A_{i,c}\}$ decreases strictly until $A_{l(c),c} = c$.

There are two possible outcomes, depending on whether or not $\log_b(a)$ is rational:

TABLE 2

Shanks' algorithm	algorithm 1
<pre> input: integers a>b>1 output: n[0],n[1],... s:= 0 a[0]:= a; a[1]:= b aa:= a[0]; bb:= a[1] while(bb > 1){ i:=0 while(aa ≥ bb){ aa:= aa/bb i:= i+1 } a[s+2]:= aa n[s]:= i t:= bb bb:= aa aa:= t s:= s+1 } </pre>	<pre> input: integers a>b>1, c> 1 output: m[0],m[1],... s:= 0 A[0]:= a*c; A[1]:= b*c aa:= A[0]; bb:= A[1] while(bb > c){ i:=0 while(aa ≥ bb){ aa:= int(aa*c,bb) i:= i+1 } A[s+2]:= aa m[s]:= i t:= bb bb:= aa aa:= t s:= s+1 } </pre>

Theorem 2. (1) $\log_{a_1} a_0$ is a rational number p/q , $p > q \geq 1$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$.

Then

- (a) $a_0 = d^p$, $a_1 = d^q$ for some positive integer d ;
- (b) if $p/q = [n_0, \dots, n_{r-1}]$, where $n_{r-1} > 1$ if $r > 1$, then
 - (i) $A_{r+1,c} = c, a_{r+1} = 1$;
 - (ii) $A_{i,c} = c \cdot a_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$;
 - (iii) $m_{i,c} = n_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.
- (2) $\log_{a_1} a_0$ is irrational. Then
 - (a) $m_{0,c} = n_0$;
 - (b) $l(c) \rightarrow \infty$ and for fixed i , $A_{i,c}/c \rightarrow a_i$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$ and $m_{i,c} = n_i$ for all large c .

Proof. 1(a) follows from the equation $a_1^p = a_0^q$.

1(b) is also straightforward on noticing that a_i is a power of d and that we are implicitly performing Euclid's algorithm on the pair (p, q) .

For 2(a), we have

$$(3.2) \quad a_1^{n_0} < a_0 < a_1^{n_0+1}$$

and $A_{0,c} = c \cdot a_0$, $A_{1,c} = c \cdot a_1$. Also by induction on $0 \leq r \leq n_0$,

$$(3.3) \quad B_{1,r,c} \geq ca_1^{n_0-r},$$

$$(3.4) \quad B_{1,r,c} \leq \frac{ca_0}{a_1^r}.$$

Inequality (3.3) with $r \leq n_0 - 1$ gives $B_{1,r,c} \geq A_{1,c}$, while inequality (3.4) with $r = n_0$ gives

$$B_{1,n_0,c} \leq \frac{ca_0}{a_1^{n_0}} < ca_1 = A_{1,c},$$

by inequality (3.2). Hence $m_{0,c} = n_0$.

For 2(b), we use induction on $i \geq 1$ and assume $l(c) \geq i$ holds for all large c and that $A_{i-1,c}/c \rightarrow a_{i-1}$ and $A_{i,c}/c \rightarrow a_i$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$. This is clearly true when $i = 1$.

By properties of the integer part symbol, equation (3.1) gives

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{c^r A_{i-1,c}}{A_{i,c}^r} - \frac{(1 - \frac{c^r}{A_{i,c}^r})}{1 - \frac{c}{A_{i,c}}} < B_{i,r,c} \leq \frac{c^r A_{i-1,c}}{A_{i,c}^r}.$$

for $r \geq 0$.

Hence for $r < n_{i-1}$, inequalities (3.5) give

$$B_{i,r,c}/c \rightarrow a_{i-1}/a_i^r \geq a_{i-1}/a_i^{n_{i-1}-1} > a_i.$$

Then, because $A_{i,c}/c \rightarrow a_i$, it follows that $B_{i,r,c} > A_{i,c}$ for all large c .

Also $B_{i,n_{i-1},c}/c \rightarrow a_{i-1}/a_i^{n_{i-1}} < a_i$, so $B_{i,n_{i-1},c} < A_{i,c}$ for all large c . Hence $m_{i-1,c} = n_{i-1}$ for all large c . Also $A_{i+1,c} = B_{i,n_{i-1},c} > c$, so $l(c) > i+1$ for all large c . Moreover $A_{i+1,c}/c \rightarrow a_{i-1}/a_i^{n_{i-1}} = a_{i+1}$ and the induction goes through. \square

Example 3. Table 3 lists the sequences $m_{0,c}, \dots, m_{l(c)-2,c}$ for $c = 2^u, u = 1, \dots, 30$, when $a_0 = 3, a_1 = 2$.

TABLE 3

1,1,
1,1,1,
1,1,1,1,
1,1,1,1,2,
1,1,1,1,2,
1,1,1,2,3,
1,1,1,2,2,2,
1,1,1,2,2,2,1,
1,1,1,2,2,2,1,2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,2,3,
1,1,1,2,2,3,2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,2, 1, 1,1, 2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,3, 1, 1,3, 1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,4, 3, 1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,4, 1, 9,1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,24, 1,2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 3, 1,1, 2,7,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 1,1, 5,3, 1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 2,1, 3,1,16,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,15,1, 6,2
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 9,5, 1,2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,13,1, 1,1, 6, 1, 2, 2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,17,2, 7,8,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,19,1,49,2, 1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,4, 8,3, 4, 1,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,2, 1,3, 1, 3, 8,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,1, 6,3, 1, 1, 3, 4, 2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,2, 1,1, 2, 1,12,17,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,3, 2,2, 2, 2, 1, 3, 2,
1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,2, 1,7, 2, 2,14, 1, 1, 6,

In fact $\log_2 3 = [1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 5, 2, 23, 2, \dots]$.

4. A HEURISTIC ALGORITHM

We can replace the $[x]$ function in equation (3.1) by $\lceil x \rceil$, the least integer exceeding x .

This produces an algorithm with similar properties to algorithm 1, with integer sequences $\{A'_{i,c}\}$, $i = 0, \dots, l'(c)$ and $\{m'_{j,c}\}$, $j = 0, \dots, l'(c) - 2$. Here $A_{0,c} = A'_{0,c} = a_0 \cdot c$, $A_{1,c} = A'_{1,c} = a_1 \cdot c$ and $m_{0,c} = m'_{0,c} = n_0$. Then if $i \geq 1$ and $A'_{i-1,c} > A'_{i,c} > c$, we define $m'_{i-1,c}$ and $A'_{i+1,c}$ by means of an intermediate sequence $\{B'_{i,r,c}\}$, defined for $r \geq 0$, by $B'_{i,0,c} = A'_{i-1,c}$ and

$$(4.1) \quad B'_{i,r+1,c} = \left\lceil \frac{cB'_{i,r,c}}{A'_{i,c}} \right\rceil, r \geq 0.$$

Then $c \leq B'_{i,r+1,c} < B'_{i,r,c}$, if $B'_{i,r,c} \geq A'_{i,c} > c$.

For

$$B'_{i,r+1,c} \leq \frac{cB'_{i,r,c}}{A'_{i,c}} + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{cB'_{i,r,c}}{A'_{i,c}} + 1 \leq B'_{i,r,c} &\Leftrightarrow cB'_{i,r,c} + A'_{i,c} \leq A'_{i,c}B'_{i,r,c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{A'_{i,c}}{A'_{i,c} - c} \leq B'_{i,r,c}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is certainly true if $B'_{i,r,c} \geq A'_{i,c} > c$.

Hence there is a unique integer $m' = m'_{i-1,c} \geq 1$ such that

$$B'_{i,m',c} < A'_{i,c} \leq B'_{i,m'-1,c}.$$

Then we define $A'_{i+1,c} = B'_{i,m',c}$. Hence $A'_{i+1,c} \geq c$ and the sequence $\{A'_{i,c}\}$ decreases strictly until $A'_{l'(c),c} = c$.

If we perform the two computations simultaneously, the common initial elements of the sequences $\{m_{j,c}\}$ and $\{m'_{k,c}\}$ are likely to be partial quotients of $\log_b(a)$. With $c = 10^r$ we expect roughly r partial quotients to be produced.

If $l(c) = l'(c)$ and $A_{j,c} = A'_{j,c}$ and $m_{j,c} = m'_{j,c}$ for $j = 0, \dots, l(c) - 2$, then $\log_b a$ is likely to be rational.

In practice, to get a feeling of certainty regarding the output when $c = 10^r$, we also run the algorithm for $c = 10^t$, $r - 5 \leq t \leq r + 5$.

Example 4. Table 4 lists the common values of $m_{i,c}$ and $m'_{i,c}$, when $a = 3$, $b = 2$ and $c = 2^r$, $1 \leq r \leq 31$. It seems likely that only partial quotients are produced for all $r \geq 1$.

Example 5. Table 5 lists the common values of $m_{i,c}$ and $m'_{i,c}$, when $a = 34$, $b = 2$ and $c = 10^r$, $1 \leq r \leq 20$. Partial quotients are not always produced, as is seen from lines 9,14 and 17.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author is grateful for the hospitality provided by the School of Mathematical Sciences, ANU, where research for part of this paper was carried out.

TABLE 4. $a = 3, b = 2, c = 2^r, 1 \leq r \leq 31$.

1: 1
 2: 1
 3: 1,1,1
 4: 1,1,1
 5: 1,1,1,2
 6: 1,1,1,2
 7: 1,1,1,2,2
 8: 1,1,1,2,2
 9: 1,1,1,2,2
 10: 1,1,1,2,2
 11: 1,1,1,2,2
 12: 1,1,1,2,2
 13: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1
 14: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1
 15: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1
 16: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5
 17: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5
 18: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5
 19: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 20: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5
 21: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 22: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 23: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 24: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 25: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 26: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 27: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2
 28: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23
 29: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23
 30: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2
 31: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2

TABLE 5. $a = 34, b = 12, c = 10^r, r = 1, \dots, 20$.

1: 1,2,2
 2: 1,2,2,1,1
 3: 1,2,2,1,1,2
 4: 1,2,2,1,1,2
 5: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1
 6: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1
 7: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1
 8: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2
 9: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,13,3,2,32,7
 10: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1
 11: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1
 12: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1
 13: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13
 14: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,3
 15: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2
 16: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2
 17: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,18,1,1,1,1,1
 18: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1
 19: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1
 20: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1

REFERENCES

1. D. Shanks, *A logarithm algorithm*, Math. Tables and Other Aids to Computation 8 (1954). 60–64.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON. YORK YO105DD, ENGLAND

E-mail address: thj1@york.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, BRISBANE, AUSTRALIA, 4072

E-mail address: krm@maths.uq.edu.au