

ON A BILINEAR FORM ASSOCIATED WITH THE LARGE SIEVE

K. R. MATTHEWS

1. Introduction

Let x_1, \dots, x_R ($R \geq 2$) be any real numbers satisfying

$$\|x_r - x_s\| \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{if } r \neq s, \tag{1}$$

where $\|\theta\|$ is the distance from θ to the nearest integer.

The author has recently proved the following result (see [1]).

Let γ be a constant for which the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq s}}^R \sum_{s=1}^R \frac{u_r v_s}{\sin \pi(x_r - x_s)} \right| \leq \gamma \tag{2}$$

holds for all real numbers $u_1, \dots, u_R, v_1, \dots, v_R$ satisfying

$$\sum_r u_r^2 = \sum_s v_s^2 = 1.$$

Then if a_{M+1}, \dots, a_{M+N} are arbitrary complex numbers, we have the large sieve inequality

$$\sum_{r=1}^R \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n e(n x_r) \right|^2 \leq (N + \gamma) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2.$$

In the present paper we show that (2) holds with

$$\gamma = \left(3 + \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \delta^{-1}, \quad \left(3 + \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi}{3} = 4.2\dots \right).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$0 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_R < 1.$$

For on writing x_r as

$$x_r = [x_r] + \{x_r\},$$

a sum of integral and fractional parts respectively, we have

$$\sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{\sin \pi(x_r - x_s)} = \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r' v_s'}{\sin \pi(\{x_r\} - \{x_s\})},$$

where $u_r' = (-1)^{[x_r]} u_r$ and $v_s' = (-1)^{[x_s]} v_s$. Inequality (1) is now replaced by

$$\delta \leq x_r - x_s \leq 1 - \delta \quad \text{if } 1 \leq s < r \leq R, \tag{3}$$

which may be strengthened to

$$(r-s) \leq x_r - x_s \leq 1 - (R - (r-s))\delta \quad \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq r \leq R. \tag{4}$$

The special case $r = s$ gives

$$R\delta \leq 1. \tag{5}$$

2. Write

$$\sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{\sin \pi(x_r - x_s)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{x_r - x_s} = \sum_{r \neq s} a_{rs} u_r v_s. \tag{6}$$

We proceed to show that

$$\left| \sum_{r \neq s} a_{rs} u_r v_s \right| < \left(2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) \delta^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

Consider the odd function ψ defined for $0 < |x| < 1$ by

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1}{1+x} + \psi(x). \tag{8}$$

It is well known (see [2; pp. 112–113]) that

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{n+x} - \frac{1}{n-x} \right).$$

Hence

$$\psi'(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(n+x)^2} + \frac{1}{(n-x)^2} \right)$$

and consequently $\psi'(x) < 0$ if $0 < |x| < 1$.

Hence ψ is a decreasing function over $0 < |x| < 1$. In particular,

$$|\psi(x)| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{9}$$

From (6) and (8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{r \neq s} a_{rs} u_r v_s &= \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{1 - (x_r - x_s)} - \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{1 + (x_r - x_s)} + \sum_{r \neq s} u_r v_s \psi(x_r - x_s) \\ &= \sum_r \sum_s \frac{u_r v_s}{1 - (x_r - x_s)} - \sum_r \sum_s \frac{u_r v_s}{1 + (x_r - x_s)} + \sum_{r \neq s} u_r v_s \psi(x_r - x_s). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now it is easy to verify from (4) and (5) that the relation

$$1 - (x_r - x_s) \geq (R - (r - s))\delta \tag{11}$$

holds for all r and s . Also

$$1 + (x_r - x_s) \geq (R - (s - r))\delta. \tag{12}$$

Inequalities (9), (11) and (12) together with (10) give

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \left| \sum_{r \neq s} a_{rs} u_r v_s \right| &\leq \delta^{-1} \sum_r \sum_s \frac{|u_r v_s|}{R - r + s} + \delta^{-1} \sum_r \sum_s \frac{|u_r v_s|}{R - s + r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq s} |u_r v_s| \\ &= \delta^{-1} \sum_{a=0}^{R-1} \sum_{b=0}^{R-1} \frac{|u_{R-a} v_{b+1}|}{a+b+1} + \delta^{-1} \sum_{a=0}^{R-1} \sum_{b=0}^{R-1} \frac{|u_{b+1} v_{R-a}|}{a+b+1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq s} |u_r v_s|. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The Hilbert inequality (see [3; Theorem 294, p. 212]) gives

$$\sum_{a=0}^{R-1} \sum_{b=0}^{R-1} \frac{|u_{R-a} v_{b+1}|}{a+b+1} \leq \pi \left(\sum_{a=0}^{R-1} u_{R-a}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{b=0}^{R-1} v_{b+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pi. \tag{14}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{a=0}^{R-1} \sum_{b=0}^{R-1} \frac{|u_{b+1} v_{R-a}|}{a+b+1} \leq \pi. \tag{15}$$

Also,

$$\sum_{r \neq s} |u_r v_s| \leq (R-1) \left(\sum_{r=1}^R u_r^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^R v_s^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = R-1.$$

(See [3; Theorem 275, p.198].) Hence, from (5), we have that

$$\sum_{r \neq s} |u_r v_s| < \delta^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

Inequality (7) now follows from (13), (14), (15) and (16).

3. Let $S = [\delta^{-1}]$, so that

$$\delta^{-1} = S + \theta, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 1.$$

Also

$$1 = S\delta + \theta\delta. \tag{17}$$

$I_k (k = 1, \dots, S)$ is defined to be the interval

$$[x_1 + (k-1)\delta, \quad x_1 + k\delta].$$

These intervals are disjoint and cover the interval

$$[x_1, x_R],$$

since by (3) and (17) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x_R - x_1 &\leq 1 - \delta = S\delta + \theta\delta - \delta \\ &= (S - (1 - \theta))\delta < S\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Also each I_k contains at most one x_r . We now define integers k_1, \dots, k_R by the condition

$$x_r \in I_{k_r}.$$

Then

$$1 = k_1 < \dots < k_R \leq S, \tag{18}$$

and

$$x_r = x_1 + (k_r - 1)\delta + \theta_r, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \theta_r < \delta. \tag{19}$$

Writing

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{x_r - x_s} - \frac{\delta^{-1}}{\pi} \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{k_r - k_s} = \sum_{r \neq s} b_{rs} u_r v_s,$$

where

$$\pi b_{rs} = \frac{1}{x_r - x_s} - \frac{1}{(k_r - k_s)\delta},$$

we proceed to verify that

$$\left| \sum_{r \neq s} b_{rs} u_r v_s \right| < \frac{\pi}{3} \delta^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

We have

$$\pi b_{rs} = \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{(x_r - x_s)(k_r - k_s)\delta},$$

and hence

$$\pi |b_{rs}| < \frac{\delta^{-1}}{(r-s)^2},$$

by (4), (18) and (19). Hence

$$\pi \left| \sum_{r \neq s} b_{rs} u_r v_s \right| \leq \delta^{-1} \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{|u_r v_s|}{(r-s)^2} < \delta^{-1} \frac{\pi^2}{3}.$$

(See [3; Theorem 275, p. 198].)

4. Our proof is concluded by showing that

$$\left| \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{k_r - k_s} \right| \leq \pi. \quad (21)$$

We have

$$\sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{k_r - k_s} = \sum_{k=1}^S \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ k \neq j}}^S \frac{u_k' v_j'}{k-j},$$

where

$$u_k' = \begin{cases} u_{k_r} & \text{if } k = k_r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly for v_j' .

The Hilbert inequality

$$\left| \sum_k \sum_{\substack{j \\ k \neq j}} \frac{u_k' v_j'}{k-j} \right| \leq \pi \left(\sum_k (u_k')^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_j (v_j')^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(see [3; Theorem 294, p. 212]) yields (21), as

$$\sum_k (u_k')^2 = \sum_j (v_j')^2 = 1.$$

Finally, on combining inequalities (7), (20) and (21) we have

$$\left| \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{u_r v_s}{\sin \pi(x_r - x_s)} \right| \leq \left(3 + \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \delta^{-1}.$$

In conclusion the author wishes to thank Professor G. Szekeres for supplying the proof of inequality (9).

References

1. K. R. Matthews, "On an inequality of Davenport and Halberstam", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1972), 638-642.
2. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions* (Oxford University Press, London, 1964).
3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1964).

The University of Queensland, St. Lucia,
Brisbane, Queensland 4067,
Australia.