## Section 3.6

1. (a) Let $S$ be the set of vectors $[x, y]$ satisfying $x=2 y$. Then $S$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For
(i) $[0,0] \in S$ as $x=2 y$ holds with $x=0$ and $y=0$.
(ii) $S$ is closed under addition. For let $\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]$ and $\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]$ belong to $S$. Then $x_{1}=2 y_{1}$ and $x_{2}=2 y_{2}$. Hence

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}=2 y_{1}+2 y_{2}=2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\left[x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]+\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]
$$

belongs to $S$.
(iii) $S$ is closed under scalar multiplication. For let $[x, y] \in S$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x=2 y$ and hence $t x=2(t y)$. Consequently

$$
[t x, t y]=t[x, y] \in S
$$

(b) Let $S$ be the set of vectors $[x, y]$ satisfying $x=2 y$ and $2 x=y$. Then $S$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This can be proved in the same way as (a), or alternatively we see that $x=2 y$ and $2 x=y$ imply $x=4 x$ and hence $x=0=y$. Hence $S=\{[0,0]\}$, the set consisting of the zero vector. This is always a subspace.
(c) Let $S$ be the set of vectors $[x, y]$ satisfying $x=2 y+1$. Then $S$ doesn't contain the zero vector and consequently fails to be a vector subspace.
(d) Let $S$ be the set of vectors $[x, y]$ satisfying $x y=0$. Then $S$ is not closed under addition of vectors. For example $[1,0] \in S$ and $[0,1] \in S$, but $[1,0]+[0,1]=[1,1] \notin S$.
(e) Let $S$ be the set of vectors $[x, y]$ satisfying $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$. Then $S$ is not closed under scalar multiplication. For example $[1,0] \in S$ and $-1 \in \mathbb{R}$, but $(-1)[1,0]=[-1,0] \notin S$.
2. Let $X, Y, Z$ be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then by Lemma 3.2.1

$$
\langle X+Y, X+Z, Y+Z\rangle \subseteq\langle X, Y, Z\rangle
$$

as each of $X+Y, X+Z, Y+Z$ is a linear combination of $X, Y, Z$.

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\frac{1}{2}(X+Y)+\frac{1}{2}(X+Z)-\frac{1}{2}(Y+Z) \\
Y & =\frac{1}{2}(X+Y)-\frac{1}{2}(X+Z)+\frac{1}{2}(Y+Z) \\
Z & =\frac{-1}{2}(X+Y)+\frac{1}{2}(X+Z)+\frac{1}{2}(Y+Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\langle X, Y, Z\rangle \subseteq\langle X+Y, X+Z, Y+Z\rangle
$$

Hence

$$
\langle X, Y, Z\rangle=\langle X+Y, X+Z, Y+Z\rangle
$$

3. Let $X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2\end{array}\right], X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ and $X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3\end{array}\right]$. We have to decide if
$X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are linearly independent, that is if the equation $x X_{1}+y X_{2}+$ $z X_{3}=0$ has only the trivial solution. This equation is equivalent to the folowing homogeneous system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+0 y+z & =0 \\
0 x+y+z & =0 \\
x+y+z & =0 \\
2 x+2 y+3 z & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We reduce the coefficient matrix to reduced row-echelon form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and consequently the system has only the trivial solution $x=0, y=0, z=$ 0 . Hence the given vectors are linearly independent.
4. The vectors

$$
X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
\lambda \\
-1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right], \quad X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
\lambda \\
-1
\end{array}\right], \quad X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
-1 \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]
$$

are linearly dependent for precisely those values of $\lambda$ for which the equation $x X_{1}+y X_{2}+z X_{3}=0$ has a non-trivial solution. This equation is equivalent to the system of homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda x-y-z & =0 \\
-x+\lambda y-z & =0 \\
-x-y+\lambda z & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the coefficient determinant of this system is

$$
\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
\lambda & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & \lambda & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & \lambda
\end{array}\right|=(\lambda+1)^{2}(\lambda-2) .
$$

So the values of $\lambda$ which make $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ linearly independent are those $\lambda$ satisfying $\lambda \neq-1$ and $\lambda \neq 2$.
5. Let $A$ be the following matrix of rationals:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 5 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
8 & 11 & 19 & 0 & 11
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $A$ has reduced row-echelon form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

From $B$ we read off the following:
(a) The rows of $B$ form a basis for $R(A)$. (Consequently the rows of $A$ also form a basis for $R(A)$.)
(b) The first four columns of $A$ form a basis for $C(A)$.
(c) To find a basis for $N(A)$, we solve $A X=0$ and equivalently $B X=0$. From $B$ we see that the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{5} \\
& x_{2}=0 \\
& x_{3}=-x_{5} \\
& x_{4}=-3 x_{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $x_{5}$ arbitrary. Then

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{r}
x_{5} \\
0 \\
-x_{5} \\
-3 x_{5} \\
x_{5}
\end{array}\right]=x_{5}\left[\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
-3 \\
1
\end{array}\right],
$$

so $[1,0,-1,-3,1]^{t}$ is a basis for $N(A)$.
6. In Section 1.6, problem 12, we found that the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

has reduced row-echelon form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From $B$ we read off the following:
(a) The three non-zero rows of $B$ form a basis for $R(A)$.
(b) The first three columns of $A$ form a basis for $C(A)$.
(c) To find a basis for $N(A)$, we solve $A X=0$ and equivalently $B X=0$. From $B$ we see that the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =-x_{4}-x_{5}=x_{4}+x_{5} \\
x_{2} & =-x_{4}-x_{5}=x_{4}+x_{5} \\
x_{3} & =-x_{4}=x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{4}+x_{5} \\
x_{4}+x_{5} \\
x_{4} \\
x_{4} \\
x_{5}
\end{array}\right]=x_{4}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+x_{5}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $[1,1,1,1,0]^{t}$ and $[1,1,0,0,1]^{t}$ form a basis for $N(A)$.
7. Let $A$ be the following matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ :

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

We find that $A$ has reduced row-echelon form $B$ :

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From $B$ we read off the following:
(a) The four rows of $B$ form a basis for $R(A)$. (Consequently the rows of $A$ also form a basis for $R(A)$.
(b) The first four columns of $A$ form a basis for $C(A)$.
(c) To find a basis for $N(A)$, we solve $A X=0$ and equivalently $B X=0$.

From $B$ we see that the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-2 x_{5}-4 x_{6}=3 x_{5}+x_{6} \\
& x_{2}=-4 x_{5}-4 x_{6}=x_{5}+x_{6} \\
& x_{3}=0 \\
& x_{4}=-3 x_{5}=2 x_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{5}$ and $x_{6}$ are arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. Hence

$$
X=x_{5}\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
1 \\
0 \\
2 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+x_{6}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

so $[3,1,0,2,1,0]^{t}$ and $[1,1,0,0,0,1]^{t}$ form a basis for $N(A)$.
8. Let $F=\{0,1, a, b\}$ be a field and let $A$ be the following matrix over $F$ :

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & a & b & a \\
a & b & b & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Section 1.6, problem 17, we found that $A$ had reduced row-echelon form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

From $B$ we read off the following:
(a) The rows of $B$ form a basis for $R(A)$. (Consequently the rows of $A$ also form a basis for $R(A)$.
(b) The first three columns of $A$ form a basis for $C(A)$.
(c) To find a basis for $N(A)$, we solve $A X=0$ and equivalently $B X=0$. From $B$ we see that the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=0 \\
& x_{2}=-b x_{4}=b x_{4} \\
& x_{3}=-x_{4}=x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{4}$ is an arbitrary element of $F$. Hence

$$
X=x_{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
b \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

so $[0, b, 1,1]^{t}$ is a basis for $N(A)$.
9. Suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ form a basis for a subspace $S$. We have to prove that

$$
X_{1}, X_{1}+X_{2}, \ldots, X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}
$$

also form a basis for $S$.
First we prove the independence of the family: Suppose

$$
x_{1} X_{1}+x_{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{m}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right)=0
$$

Then

$$
\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m}\right) X_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} X_{m}=0
$$

Then the linear independence of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ gives

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m}=0, \ldots, x_{m}=0
$$

form which we deduce that $x_{1}=0, \ldots, x_{m}=0$.
Secondly we have to prove that every vector of $S$ is expressible as a linear combination of $X_{1}, X_{1}+X_{2}, \ldots, X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}$. Suppose $X \in S$. Then

$$
X=a_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} X_{m}
$$

We have to find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =x_{1} X_{1}+x_{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)+\cdots+x_{m}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{m}\right) \\
& =\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m}\right) X_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} X_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
a_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} X_{m}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m}\right) X_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} X_{m}
$$

So if we can solve the system

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}=a_{m}
$$

we are finished. Clearly these equations have the unique solution

$$
x_{1}=a_{1}-a_{2}, \ldots, x_{m-1}=a_{m}-a_{m-1}, x_{m}=a_{m}
$$

10. Let $A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}a & b & c \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$. If $[a, b, c]$ is a multiple of $[1,1,1]$, (that is, $a=b=c$ ), then $\operatorname{rank} A=1$. For if

$$
[a, b, c]=t[1,1,1]
$$

then

$$
R(A)=\langle[a, b, c],[1,1,1]\rangle=\langle t[1,1,1],[1,1,1]\rangle=\langle[1,1,1]\rangle
$$

so $[1,1,1]$ is a basis for $R(A)$.
However if $[a, b, c]$ is not a multiple of $[1,1,1]$, (that is at least two of $a, b, c$ are distinct), then the left-to-right test shows that $[a, b, c]$ and $[1,1,1]$ are linearly independent and hence form a basis for $R(A)$. Consequently $\operatorname{rank} A=2$ in this case.
11. Let $S$ be a subspace of $F^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} S=m$. Also suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ are vectors in $S$ such that $S=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle$. We have to prove that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ form a basis for $S$; in other words, we must prove that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ are linearly independent.

However if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ were linearly dependent, then one of these vectors would be a linear combination of the remaining vectors. Consequently $S$ would be spanned by $m-1$ vectors. But there exist a family of $m$ linearly independent vectors in $S$. Then by Theorem 3.3.2, we would have the contradiction $m \leq m-1$.
12. Let $[x, y, z]^{t} \in S$. Then $x+2 y+3 z=0$. Hence $x=-2 y-3 z$ and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-2 y-3 z \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=y\left[\begin{array}{r}
-2 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+z\left[\begin{array}{r}
-3 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Hence $[-2,1,0]^{t}$ and $[-3,0,1]^{t}$ form a basis for $S$.
Next $(-1)+2(-1)+3(1)=0$, so $[-1,-1,1]^{t} \in S$.
To find a basis for $S$ which includes $[-1,-1,1]^{t}$, we note that $[-2,1,0]^{t}$ is not a multiple of $[-1,-1,1]^{t}$. Hence we have found a linearly independent family of two vectors in $S$, a subspace of dimension equal to 2 . Consequently these two vectors form a basis for $S$.
13. Without loss of generality, suppose that $X_{1}=X_{2}$. Then we have the non-trivial dependency relation:

$$
1 X_{1}+(-1) X_{2}+0 X_{3}+\cdots+0 X_{m}=0
$$

14. (a) Suppose that $X_{m+1}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. Then

$$
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle
$$

(b) Suppose that $X_{m+1}$ is not a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. If not all of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ are zero, there will be a subfamily $X_{c_{1}}, \ldots, X_{c_{r}}$ which is a basis for $\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle$.

Then as $X_{m+1}$ is not a linear combination of $X_{c_{1}}, \ldots, X_{c_{r}}$, it follows that $X_{c_{1}}, \ldots, X_{c_{r}}, X_{m+1}$ are linearly independent. Also

$$
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle=\left\langle X_{c_{1}}, \ldots, X_{c_{r}}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle
$$

Consequently

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle=r+1=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle+1
$$

Our result can be rephrased in a form suitable for the second part of the problem:

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, X_{m+1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim}\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\rangle
$$

if and only if $X_{m+1}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$.
If $X=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{t}$, then $A X=B$ is equivalent to

$$
B=x_{1} A_{* 1}+\cdots+x_{n} A_{* n}
$$

So $A X=B$ is soluble for $X$ if and only if $B$ is a linear combination of the columns of $A$, that is $B \in C(A)$. However by the first part of this question, $B \in C(A)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} C([A \mid B])=\operatorname{dim} C(A)$, that is, $\operatorname{rank}[A \mid B]=$ rank $A$.
15. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be elements of $F$, not all zero. Let $S$ denote the set of vectors $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{t}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ satisfy

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}=0
$$

Then $S=N(A)$, where $A$ is the row matrix $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$. Now $\operatorname{rank} A=1$ as $A \neq 0$. So by the "rank + nullity" theorem, noting that the number of columns of $A$ equals $n$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} N(A)=\operatorname{nullity}(A)=n-\operatorname{rank} A=n-1
$$

16. (a) (Proof of Lemma 3.2.1) Suppose that each of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$. Then

$$
X_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i j} Y_{j}, \quad(1 \leq i \leq r)
$$

Now let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} X_{i}$ be a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =x_{1}\left(a_{11} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 s} Y_{s}\right) \\
& +\cdots \\
& +x_{r}\left(a_{r 1} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{r s} Y_{s}\right) \\
& =y_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+y_{s} Y_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{j}=a_{1 j} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r j} x_{r}$. Hence $X$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$.
Another way of stating Lemma 3.2.1 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if each of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$.
(b) (Proof of Theorem 3.2.1) Suppose that each of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ is a linear combination of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ and that each of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$. Then by (a) equation (1) above

$$
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle
$$

Hence

$$
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle=\left\langle Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}\right\rangle .
$$

(c) (Proof of Corollary 3.2.1) Suppose that each of $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{t}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$. Then each of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{t}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$.

Also each of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ is a linear combination of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{t}$, so by Theorem 3.2.1

$$
\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{t}\right\rangle=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle .
$$

(d) (Proof of Theorem 3.3.2) Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ be vectors in $\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle$ and assume that $s>r$. We have to prove that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ are linearly dependent. So we consider the equation

$$
x_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+x_{s} Y_{s}=0 .
$$

Now $Y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{i j} X_{j}$, for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+x_{s} Y_{s} & =x_{1}\left(a_{11} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 r} X_{r}\right) \\
& +\cdots \\
& +x_{r}\left(a_{s 1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{s r} X_{r}\right) . \\
& =y_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+y_{r} X_{r}, \quad(1) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y_{j}=a_{1 j} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{s j} x_{s}$. However the homogeneous system

$$
y_{1}=0, \cdots, y_{r}=0
$$

has a non-trivial solution $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$, as $s>r$ and from (1), this results in a non-trivial solution of the equation

$$
x_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+x_{s} Y_{s}=0 .
$$

Hence $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ are linearly dependent.
17. Let $R$ and $S$ be subspaces of $F^{n}$, with $R \subseteq S$. We first prove

$$
\operatorname{dim} R \leq \operatorname{dim} S
$$

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ be a basis for $R$. Now by Theorem 3.5.2, because $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ form a linearly independent family lying in $S$, this family can be extended to a basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}, \ldots, X_{s}$ for $S$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} S=s \geq r=\operatorname{dim} R
$$

Next suppose that $\operatorname{dim} R=\operatorname{dim} S$. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ be a basis for $R$. Then because $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ form a linearly independent family in $S$ and $S$ is a subspace whose dimension is $r$, it follows from Theorem 3.4.3 that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ form a basis for $S$. Then

$$
S=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle=R
$$

18. Suppose that $R$ and $S$ are subspaces of $F^{n}$ with the property that $R \cup S$ is also a subspace of $F^{n}$. We have to prove that $R \subseteq S$ or $S \subseteq R$. We argue by contradiction: Suppose that $R \nsubseteq S$ and $S \nsubseteq R$. Then there exist vectors $u$ and $v$ such that

$$
u \in R \text { and } u \notin S, \quad v \in S \text { and } v \notin R
$$

Consider the vector $u+v$. As we are assuming $R \cup S$ is a subspace, $R \cup S$ is closed under addition. Hence $u+v \in R \cup S$ and so $u+v \in R$ or $u+v \in S$. However if $u+v \in R$, then $v=(u+v)-u \in R$, which is a contradiction; similarly if $u+v \in S$.

Hence we have derived a contradiction on the asumption that $R \nsubseteq S$ and $S \nsubseteq R$. Consequently at least one of these must be false. In other words $R \subseteq S$ or $S \subseteq R$.
19. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ be a basis for $S$.
(i) First let

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{1} & =a_{11} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 r} X_{r} \\
& \vdots  \tag{2}\\
Y_{r} & =a_{r 1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{r r} X_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ is non-singular. Then the above system of equations can be solved for $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ in terms of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$. Consequently by Theorem 3.2.1

$$
\left\langle Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right\rangle=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right\rangle=S
$$

It follows from problem 11 that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ is a basis for $S$.
(ii) We show that all bases for $S$ are given by equations 2. So suppose that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ forms a basis for $S$. Then because $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ form a basis for $S$, we can express $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ in terms of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$ as in 2 , for some matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$. We show $A$ is non-singular by demonstrating that the linear independence of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ implies that the rows of $A$ are linearly independent.

So assume

$$
x_{1}\left[a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1 r}\right]+\cdots+x_{r}\left[a_{r 1}, \ldots, a_{r r}\right]=[0, \ldots, 0] .
$$

Then on equating components, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{11} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r 1} x_{r} & =0 \\
& \vdots \\
a_{1 r} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r r} x_{r} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+x_{r} Y_{r} & =x_{1}\left(a_{11} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 r} X_{r}\right)+\cdots+x_{r}\left(a_{r 1} X_{1}+\cdots+a_{r r} X_{r}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{11} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r 1} x_{r}\right) X_{1}+\cdots+\left(a_{1 r} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{r r} x_{r}\right) X_{r} \\
& =0 X_{1}+\cdots+0 X_{r}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the linear independence of $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ implies $x_{1}=0, \ldots, x_{r}=0$.
(We mention that the last argument is reversible and provides an alternative proof of part (i).)

