

## Computing the continued fraction of $\log_b a$

In 1954 Shanks gave an algorithm for computing the partial quotients of  $\log_b a$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers,  $a > b > 1$ .

It is impractical to perform the above calculations in multiprecision arithmetic, as the process quickly grinds to a halt.

In this talk we outline a modification of Shanks' algorithm which produces partial quotients with a high degree of certainty.

## Shanks' algorithm

Positive rationals  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  and positive integers  $n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots$  are constructed, as follows:

(i)  $a_0 = a$  and  $a_1 = b$ ;

(ii) If  $i \geq 1$  and  $a_{i-1} > a_i > 1$ , then  $n_{i-1}$  and  $a_{i+1}$  are defined by

$$a_i^{n_{i-1}} \leq a_{i-1} < a_i^{n_{i-1}+1} \quad (1)$$

$$a_{i+1} = a_{i-1}/a_i^{n_{i-1}}. \quad (2)$$

## Remarks

(i) (1) and (2) imply  $a_i > a_{i+1} \geq 1$ .

(ii) If  $a_{i-1} > a_i > 1$ , (1) implies

$$n_{i-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{\log a_{i-1}}{\log a_i} \right\rfloor. \quad (3)$$

(ii) By induction on  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$a_{2j} = a_0^r/a_1^s, \quad a_{2j+1} = a_1^u/a_0^v, \quad (4)$$

where  $r$  and  $u$  are positive integers and  $s$  and  $v$  are non-negative integers.

## Two possibilities:

(i)  $a_{r+1} = 1$  for some  $r \geq 1$ .

Then (4) implies  $a_0^q = a_1^p$  for positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  and so  $\log_{a_1} a_0 = p/q$ .

(ii)  $a_{i+1} > 1$  for all  $i$ .

In this case the decreasing sequence  $\{a_i\}$  tends to a limit  $a \geq 1$ . Also (1) implies  $a_i \leq a_{i-1}^{1/n_{i-1}}$  and consequently

$$a_{i+1} \leq a_1^{1/n_1 \cdots n_i}.$$

Hence  $a = 1$ , unless  $n_i = 1$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ .

But then (2) gives  $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1}/a_i$  and hence  $a = a/a = 1$ .

If  $a_{i+1} > 1$  we let  $x_i = \log_{a_{i+1}} a_1$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $a_{i+2} > 1$ , then

$$x_i = n_i + \frac{1}{x_{i+1}}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** From equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log a_{i+2} &= \log a_i - n_i \log a_{i+1} \\ 1 &= \frac{\log a_i}{\log a_{i+1}} \cdot \frac{\log a_{i+1}}{\log a_{i+2}} - n_i \cdot \frac{\log a_{i+1}}{\log a_{i+2}} \\ &= x_i x_{i+1} - n_i x_{i+1},\end{aligned}$$

from which (4) follows.

From Lemma 1 and (3), we deduce

**Lemma 2.**

(a) If  $\log_{a_1} a_0$  is irrational, then

$$x_i = n_i + \frac{1}{x_{i+1}} \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

(b) If  $\log_{a_1} a_0$  is rational, with  $a_{r+1} = 1$ , then

$$x_i = \begin{cases} n_i + \frac{1}{x_{i+1}} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < r - 1, \\ n_{r-1} & \text{if } i = r - 1. \end{cases}$$

In view of the equation  $x_0 = \log_{a_1} a_0$ , Lemma 2 leads immediately to

## **Corollary.**

$$\log_{a_1} a_0 = \begin{cases} [n_0, n_1, \dots] & \text{if } \log_{a_1} a_0 \text{ is irrational,} \\ [n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}] & \text{if } \log_{a_1} a_0 \text{ is rational} \\ & \text{and } a_{r+1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** It is an easy exercise to show that

$$a_{2j} = \frac{a_0^{q_{2j-2}}}{a_1^{p_{2j-2}}}, \quad a_{2j+1} = \frac{a_1^{p_{2j-1}}}{a_0^{q_{2j-1}}}$$

where  $p_k/q_k$  is the  $k$ -th convergent to  $\log_{a_1} a_0$ .

**Example.**  $\log_2 10$ : Here  $a_0 = 10$ ,  $a_1 = 2$ .

(i) Then  $2^3 < 10 < 2^4$ , so  $n_0 = 3$  and  $a_2 = 10/2^3 = 1.25$ .

(ii) Further,  $1.25^3 < 2 < 1.25^4$ , so  $n_1 = 3$  and  $a_3 = 2/1.25^3 = 1.024$ .

(iii) Also,  $1.024^9 < 1.25 < 1.024^{10}$ , so  $n_2 = 9$   
and

Continuing we obtain

$i$	$n_i$	$a_i$	$p_i/q_i$
0	3	10	3/1
1	3	2	10/3
2	9	1.25	93/75
3	2	1.024	196/195
4	2	1.0097419586...	485/464
5	4	1.0043362776...	2136/643
6	6	1.0010415475...	13301/4004
7	2	1.0001628941...	28738/8651
8	1	1.0000637223...	42039/12655
9	1	1.0000354408...	70777/21306
10		1.0000282805...	
11		1.0000071601...	

and  $\log_2 10 = [3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 4, 6, 2, 1, 1, \dots]$ .

## Pseudocode for the Shanks algorithm

Algorithm 0

input: integers  $a > b > 1$

output: partial quotients  $n[s]$  of  $\log(a)/\log(b)$

$s := 0$

$a[0] = a; a[1] := b$

$aa := a; bb := b$

$while(bb > 1) {$

$i := 0$

$while(aa >= bb) {$

$aa := aa/bb$

$i := i + 1$

$}$

$a[s+2] = aa$

$n[s] = i$

$t := bb$

$bb := aa$

$aa := t$

$s := s + 1$

$}$

In the exact–arithmetic language BC, if the *scale* is set to  $r$  digits, a positive rational number  $a$  is stored as  $g(a)/10^r$ , where  $g(a) = \lfloor 10^r a \rfloor$ . Eg. with *scale* = 3,  $g(57/61) = 934$ .

When dealing with positive rationals, BC calculates the quotient  $a \oslash b$  using the following rule:

$$g(a \oslash b) = \lfloor 10^r g(a)/g(b) \rfloor. \quad (1)$$

If we instead perform Algorithm 0 in BC, with *scale* =  $r$  and with division aa/bb being interpreted as  $aa \oslash bb$ , the new algorithm will terminate and the integers  $\mathfrak{m}[s]$  will commence with partial quotients.

Naturally, the larger we take  $r$ , the more correct partial quotients will be expected.

The following pseudo code, when executed with  $c = 10^r$ , does the same job, working only with integers, using the  $g(a)$  values.

## Pseudocode for the modified Shanks algorithm

Algorithm 1

input: integers  $a > b > 1$ ,  $c > 1$

output: positive integers  $m[s]$  which initially  
are partial quotients of  $\log(a)/\log(b)$   
 $s := 0$

$A[0] = a*c$ ;  $A[1] := b*c$

$aa := A[0]$ ;  $bb := A[1]$

while( $bb > c$ ) {

$i := 0$

    while( $aa \geq bb$ ) {

$aa := \text{floor}(aa*c/bb)$

$i := i + 1$

    }

$A[s+2] = aa$

$m[s] = i$

$t := bb$

$bb := aa$

$aa := t$

$s := s + 1$

}

## Formal description of Algorithm 1

$$A_0 = c \cdot a_0, A_1 = c \cdot a_1.$$

If  $i \geq 1$  and  $A_{i-1} > A_i > c$ , we define  $m_{i-1}$  and  $A_{i+1}$  by means of an intermediate sequence  $\{B_{i,r}\}$ , defined for  $r \geq 0$ , by  $B_{i,0} = A_{i-1}$  and

$$B_{i,r+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{cB_{i,r}}{A_i} \right\rfloor, r \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Then  $c \leq B_{i,r+1} < B_{i,r}$ , if  $B_{i,r} \geq A_i$  and hence there is a unique integer  $m = m_{i-1} \geq 1$  such that

$$B_{i,m} < A_i \leq B_{i,m-1}.$$

Then we define  $A_{i+1} = B_{i,m}$ .

Hence  $A_{i+1} \geq c$  and the sequence  $\{A_i\}$  decreases strictly until it reaches  $A_{l(c)} = c$ .

## Theorem

(1) If  $\log_{a_1} a_0 = p/q$ ,  $p > q \geq 1$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , then

(a)  $a_0 = d^p$ ,  $a_1 = d^q$  for some positive integer  $d$ ;

(b) if  $p/q = [n_0, \dots, n_{r-1}]$ , where  $n_{r-1} > 1$  if  $r > 1$ , then

(i)  $A_{r+1} = c$ ,  $a_{r+1} = 1$ ;

(ii)  $A_i = c \cdot a_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r + 1$ ;

(iii)  $m_i = n_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ .

(2) If  $\log_{a_1} a_0$  is irrational, then

(a)  $m_0 = n_0$ ;

(b)  $l(c) \rightarrow \infty$  and for fixed  $i$ ,  $A_i/c \rightarrow a_i$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m_i = n_i$  for all large  $c$ .

**Example**  $a_0 = 3, a_1 = 2$ . Here are the  $\{m_i\}$  for  $\log_2 3$ , with  $c = 2^u, u = 1, \dots, 31$ :

```

1: 1,1,
2: 1,1,1,
3: 1,1,1,1,
4: 1,1,1,2,
5: 1,1,1,2,
6: 1,1,1,2,3,
7: 1,1,1,2,2,2,
8: 1,1,1,2,2,2,1,
9: 1,1,1,2,2,2,1,2,
10: 1,1,1,2,2,3,2,3,
11: 1,1,1,2,2,3,2,
12: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,2, 1, 1,1, 2,
13: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,3, 1, 1,3, 1,
14: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,4, 3, 1,
15: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,4, 1, 9,1,
16: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,24, 1,2,
17: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 3, 1,1, 2,7,
18: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 1,1, 5,3, 1,
19: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 2,1, 3,1,16,
20: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,15,1, 6,2
21: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2, 9,5, 1,2,
22: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,13,1, 1,1, 6,1,2,2,
23: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,17,2, 7,8,
24: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,19,1,49,2, 1,
25: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,4, 8,3, 4,1,
26: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,2, 1,3, 1,3, 8,
27: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,22,1, 6,3, 1,1, 3, 4,2,
28: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,2, 1,1, 2,1,12,17,
29: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,3, 2,2, 2,2, 1, 3,2,
30: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,2, 1,7, 2,2,14, 1,1,6,
31: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5, 2,23,2, 1,1, 1,1, 1, 8,2,1,14,2,
```

In fact

$$\log_2 3 = [1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 5, 2, 23, 2, 2, \dots]$$

## A heuristic algorithm

We can replace the  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  function in equation (5) by  $\lceil x \rceil$ , the least integer exceeding  $x$ .

This produces an algorithm with similar properties to Algorithm 1, with integer sequences  $\{A'_j\}$  and  $\{m'_j\}$ .

If we perform the two computations simultaneously, the common initial elements of the sequences  $\{m_j\}$  and  $\{m'_k\}$  are often partial quotients of  $\log_b(a)$ . Moreover, if  $l(c) = l'(c)$  and  $A_j = A'_j$  and  $m_j = m'_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, l(c)$ , then  $\log_b a$  is likely to be rational.

**Example**  $c = 10^{10}$  and  $a = 10, b = 2, :$

$i$	$m_i$	$A_i$	$A'_i$
0	3	100000000000	100000000000
1	3	200000000000	200000000000
2	9	125000000000	125000000000
3	2	102400000000	102400000000
4	2	10097419583	10097419591
5	4	10043362783	10043362769
6	6	10010415458	10010415496
7	2	10001629018	10001628856
8	1	10000636742	10000637759
9	1	10000355447	10000353253
10		10000281285	10000284496
11		10000074159	10000068756
12		10000058804	10000009470

Here  $m_{10} = 3$  and  $m'_{10} = 4$ .

**Example**  $a = 3, b = 2, c = 10^r, 1 \leq r \leq 20$ :

1: 1,1,1  
2: 1,1,1,2  
3: 1,1,1,2,2  
4: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1  
5: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1  
6: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5  
7: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
8: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
9: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2  
10: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2  
11: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1  
12: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1  
13: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1  
14: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1  
15: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4  
16: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4  
17: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4,3,1,1  
18: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4,3,1,1  
19: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4,3,1,1  
20: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2,2,1,1,55,1,4,3,1,1,15,1

**Example**  $a = 3, b = 2, c = 2^r, 1 \leq r \leq 31$ : It seems likely that only partial quotients are produced for all  $r \geq 1$ .

1: 1  
2: 1  
3: 1,1,1  
4: 1,1,1  
5: 1,1,1,2  
6: 1,1,1,2  
7: 1,1,1,2,2  
8: 1,1,1,2,2  
9: 1,1,1,2,2  
10: 1,1,1,2,2  
11: 1,1,1,2,2  
12: 1,1,1,2,2  
13: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1  
14: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1  
15: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1  
16: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5  
17: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5  
18: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5  
19: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
20: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5  
21: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
22: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
23: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
24: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
25: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
26: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
27: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2  
28: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23  
29: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23  
30: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2  
31: 1,1,1,2,2,3,1,5,2,23,2

**Example**  $a = 34, b = 12, d = 10, r = 1, \dots, 20.$

This example shows that we don't always get partial quotients.

```
test(34,12,10,1,20)
1: 1,2,2
2: 1,2,2,1,1
3: 1,2,2,1,1,2
4: 1,2,2,1,1,2
5: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1
6: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1
7: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1
8: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2
9: 1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,13,3,2,32,7
10:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1
11:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1
12:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1
13:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13
14:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,3
15:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2
16:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2
17:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,18,1,1,1,1,1,1
18:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1
19:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1
20:1,2,2,1,1,2,3,1,8,1,1,2,2,1,12,1,13,3,2,2,17,1
```

Properties of the integer part symbol give

$$\frac{G_{i-1,c}}{G_{i,c}^r} - \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{G_{i,c}^r})}{c(1 - \frac{1}{G_{i,c}^r})} < G_{i+1,c} \leq \frac{G_{i-1,c}}{G_{i,c}^r}.$$

This leads to the

**Theorem** With  $G_{i,c} = A_{i,c}/c$  and

$A_{i,c} > c + \sqrt{c}$ , we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\log G_{i-1,c}}{\log G_{i,c}} \right\rfloor = m_{i-1,c} \text{ or } m_{i-1,c} + 1.$$

Similarly, properties of the ceiling function give

**Theorem** With  $G'_{i,c} = A'_{i,c}/c$  and  $A'_{i,c} > c + \sqrt{c}$ , we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\log G'_{i-1,c}}{\log G'_{i,c}} \right\rfloor = m'_{i-1,c} \text{ or } m_{i-1,c} - 1,$$

if  $c > 2$ .

If  $c = d^{2r}$ , then provided  $A_{i+1,c} \geq d^{2r} + d^{r+2}$ ,  $m_{i-1,c}$  is likely to be a partial quotient of  $\log_b a$ .

One example where we do get an incorrect answer is  $\log_{71}(74)$ , when  $d = 2, r = 10$ : The sequence  $m_{0,c}, m_{1,c}$ , with  $A_{3,c}$  the least  $A_{i,c} \geq d^{2r} + d^{r+2}$ , is 1, 102, whereas  $\log_{74}(71) = [1, 103, 15842, \dots]$ .

Contrastingly, with  $a_0 = 3, a_1 = 2, d = 10, r = 250$ , the same condition yields the correct first 482 partial quotients of  $\log_2 3$ .

## The first 500 partial quotients of $\log_2 3$

1	1	1	2	2	3	1	5	2	23	2	2	1	1	55
1	4	3	1	2	15	1	9	2	5	7	1	1	1	8
1	11	1	20	2	1	10	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	37
4	55	1	1	49	1	1	1	4	1	3	2	2	1	1
5	16	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	5	2	1	3	2	7
1	1	2	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	5	4	1	2
2	16	8	10	1	25	2	1	1	1	2	18	10	1	1
1	1	9	1	5	6	2	1	1	12	1	1	5	6	14
12	1	1	12	1	1	12	12	1	12	3	1	1	1	1
1	1	14	2	3	1	2	2	1	4	4	7	5	8	1
1	3	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	1	3	8	1
32	1	1	1	18	1	3	2	5	2	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	2	6	6	5	33	2	2	3	8	14	1	1	29
1	3	2	1	21	1	6	52	1	39	1	2	1	1	7
1	4	18	2	2	1	1	2	100	1	39	1	1	1	19
1	5	9	1	3	3	5	1	1	11	1	23	11	1	1
5	3	1	88	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	75	1	1	1
1	2	1	88	1	4	3	1	1	4	1	10	4	7	1
1	11	17	2	5	3	3	1	34	1	2	2	24	1	1
1	23	1	6	3	1	7	1	17	1	1	1	1	3	1
10	1	4	1	1	1	5	3	1	2	2	1	1	6	8
1	8	2	1	1	1	4	2	9	2	2	2	2	7	12
2436	1	2	1	1	9	4	4	10	1	1	2	1	2	3
1	1	3	1	4	6	6	1	6	1	2	14	4	4	1
3	46	31	196	4	1	3	1	85	1	1	9	8	4	3
2	20	1	3	6	4	2	6	6	2	1	7	5	5	1
1	78	1	4	4	5	13	2	1	4	4	4	7	5	2
11	1	2	1	1	13	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
1	2	10	1	1	13	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1
6	30	1	2	2	3	13	1	5	1	1	1	36	1	1
2	5	1	5	2	3	1	1	1	5	1	10	2	1	1
9	7	1	28	2	5	1	1	4	1	1	11	1	1	1
1	3	2	19	1	8	1	5	1	1	1	1	5	3	10